60 years later, they still are hiding the flu vaccine data

So do you honestly think they are going to release the COVID vaccine data anytime in the near future?







They've been hiding the efficacy data for the flu vaccine for more than 60 years. I wonder why?

Executive summary

The flu vaccine has been in widespread use in the US since the 1960s.

The CDC is even older; it's been around since July 1, 1946.

Has the CDC ever made the vax-death record-level data (as described here) on the flu

© 2023 Steve Kirsch · <u>Privacy</u> · <u>Terms</u> · <u>Collection notice</u> <u>Substack</u> is the home for great writing

So don't expect they will be transparent with the COVID vaccine data anytime soon.

Introduction

I saw this tweet by my friend Justin Hart on Twitter:



3 years ago today, I predicted that the impact of Covid would be low to moderate. I stand by that assertion. Everything else was panic.

Also, note my reference to flu shots and how they really don't have a great record for saving lives -- a prediction of the folly of trying to vax a respiratory pathogen.



I called him up and asked him about the "5,000 lives saved number" because many of us believe the flu shot is completely useless in preventing deaths.

He said that was the number claimed by the authorities.

But of course, they keep the vax-death data hidden for all these vaccines including the flu.

Think about it...they've been hiding the flu data for over 60 years


The flu vaccine has been in widespread use for over 60 years, almost as long as the CDC has been in existence.

In over 60 years, have they EVER published the vax-death records for the flu vaccine <u>as</u> <u>outlined in this article?</u>

Nope.

So I'm pretty sure they aren't going to be transparent about the COVID vax-death records anytime soon.

What do you think?



3 of 5

Summary

The fact that the CDC has been hiding the flu death-vax data from the public for over 60 years is a pretty good indicator that we aren't going to see the COVID death-vax data anytime soon.

For some reason, the CDC believes that hiding this data from public view is in the best interest of the public. That doesn't make any sense to me. AFAIK, there is no paper in the peer-reviewed medical literature that proves that keeping data secret results in better health outcomes. Did I miss something?

Congress has had over 60 years to ask the CDC this question.

So has the medical community. So has the mainstream media.

Heck, even Tucker Carlson isn't going to ask this question.

So it's probably not going to happen anytime soon.

413 Comments



Write a comment...



Chris 22 min ago

I didn't want to take Covid jabs because they where developed too quickly.

I was badgered by family to take if and had decided I wasn't going to have mRNA jabs, all I go I had on AZ was that it was like a flu jab and used denatured Covid. Turns out that that wasn't true but it wasn't mRNA.

3 years of blatant lies, and misinformation and the main perpetrators are still telling their lies and spreading misinformation.

I am now fully aligned with informed consent.

Unless they can provide satisfactory detailed information including efficacy and history of

safety then we are not taking it.

In the UK they just removed the most popular cold remedies off the shelf as some of the ingredients can interfere with anaesthetics. Not sure if has caused any deaths but the authorities believe these commonly used and popular remedies pose a greater risk than the Covid vaccines.

Over 90% of us are not expecting to need anaesthetics in the next year. Removing these cold remedies is a nonsense.

	They'll be banning vitamin d next.
	C LIKE (1) REPLY ···
	Chris 49 min ago
	Truly despicable
	♡ LIKE

411 more comments...