



The flu vaccine is too deadly to be used and should be pulled from the market

The Medicare data that the CDC never shows the public makes this crystal clear. I wonder what public benefit there is of hiding this data. Why doesn't anyone want to see it?



Steve Kirsch ✓
Mar 17



The US Medicare data (which the CDC hides from view) shows that the flu vaccine causes more than 1 death per million vaccinated making it too unsafe to be used.

✘ **Our use of cookies**

We use necessary cookies to make our site work. We also set performance and functionality cookies that help us make improvements by measuring traffic on our site. For more detailed information about the cookies we use, please see our [privacy policy](#).

than 1 person per million vaccinated, which is [the safety threshold noted by vaccine expert Paul Offit](#).

The evidence has been in plain sight but nobody seems to care.

Introduction

I find it really annoying that our government is hiding the safety data on vaccines. How does hiding the data protect the public from harm?

Of course, I'm not a doctor and doctors don't have any problem at all with their government hiding safety data. Have you ever seen any of them complain about the lack of transparency? Of course not! None of them want their licenses revoked or their grants canceled.

However, since I'm not a doctor, I'm not encumbered.

So I wanted to let you in on a little secret: the government hides the data from us because the vaccines they've been promoting are unsafe.

Let's take the flu vaccine for example.

Have you noticed that the government NEVER shows you the data from Medicare, VSD, BEST, or any other database?

The [record level data we need to make a proper safety assessment](#) is simply never made publicly available.

The reason is simple: the shots are deadly and should be pulled. If they released the data, everyone would know this. So they keep the data hidden from public view.

Thanks to an anonymous HHS employee, I've been provided with the "days after death" for the flu vaccine.

× Our use of cookies

We use necessary cookies to make our site work. We also set performance and functionality cookies that help us make improvements by measuring traffic on our site. For more detailed information about the cookies we use, please see our [privacy policy](#).




Figure 1. Number of deaths per day after taking the flu shot. See the spike on Day 0? It’s easy to miss if you aren’t looking. That’s a problem. That could only be caused by the vaccine itself. There is no other way to explain this “coincidence.”

See the spike on Day 0?

At least 375 “excess deaths” on Day 0!

Last I checked, there is no need to vaccinate people for the flu right before they die because the vaccine takes time to have an effect. That’s why there is normally a reduced number of deaths on Day 0 vs. baseline: if you are about to die, they aren’t going to waste time vaccinating you.

But you can see there are a375 excess deaths on Day 0.

Now consider that this is a **subset** of all the people who get the flu vaccine in 2021:

1. It’s only people in Medicare
2. It’s only people who got the flu shot in Q1 of 2021

✘ **Our use of cookies**

3 We use necessary cookies to make our site work. We also set performance and functionality cookies that help us make improvements by measuring traffic on our site. For more detailed information about the cookies we use, please see our [privacy policy](#).

375 Medicare recipients, more than the population of the US.

So over 1 person per million died.

Summary

The flu vaccine kills > 1 person per million vaccinated.

This is above the [safety threshold of a vaccine articulated by vaccine expert Paul Offit](#).

This means the flu vaccine should be pulled from the market.

I don't know how I can make it any clearer than that.

And the COVID vaccine? Well, it's killing people at a 1,000X greater rate than the flu shot. It should have been pulled long ago. [Check out this analysis by Denis Rancourt](#) that, after accumulating 100K views, was pulled from ResearchGate. It's a quality analysis. For example, one of my colleagues who NEVER texts me on ANY papers, texted me to look at this one: "Just published. This data is really stunning."

But the health authorities are looking the other way and the doctors just blindly do whatever the CDC says, no matter how many people are killed.

I guess that's the way science works.

Tragic.

538 Comments



Write a comment...



Debbie Beatty 1 hr ago

× **Our use of cookies**

Seems like maybe we should demand a separation of medicine and state.

We use necessary cookies to make our site work. We also set performance and functionality cookies that help us make improvements by measuring traffic on our site. For more detailed information about the cookies we use, please see our [privacy policy](#).



Dr Mike Yeadon 2 hr ago

Wow. Thanks Steve, for adding to my education about flu vaccines.

Not a field I ever worked in & to my shame, not one I'd ever given much thought to, either.

At one point a few years ago, I stumbled across a Cochrane Foundation "review of the efficacy of flu vaccines after a decade of free prescription to the over 65 year olds in U.K."

Something along these lines.

The horrifying conclusion was that they didn't reduce the rate of hospitalization or death. Since that is what people worry about, not about being sick for a couple of weeks, they're pointless and expensive.

Once I thought about c19 "vaccines", I realised that they could never have been successful EVEN if they'd been well developed and manufactured. I base this belief from the flu vaccine outcomes.

But why don't they work? We could concoct all sorts of possibilities, but I think the one we can't get by is this. It is the most elderly and already frail / unwell who are most likely to perish in response to almost any physiological challenge. But why is that? One likely contributor is that their immune systems are less responsive than are those of younger people. So, vaccinate them, right?

Afraid not. Their immune systems respond poorly to vaccines, too. It's the same immunological defect.

If all that's true, then it would follow that flu vaccines wouldn't work well, either. And they don't.

This means that pharma and the governments knew before they even kicked off this lie about a virus that their story had fatal flaws.

I wasn't aware of the lethality of flu vaccines.

That's a particularly good reason to pass on them, too.

I wonder if the lethality varies between lots of flu vaccine? If it does, that's unequivocal evidence of very poor manufacturing quality. A good quality pharmaceutical product is a consistent product both in terms of efficacy and safety, as you'd expect of each dose contains exactly the same ingredients.

Thanks again,

Mike

✘ **Our use of cookies**

We use necessary cookies to make our site work. We also set performance and functionality cookies that help us make improvements by measuring traffic on our site. For more detailed information about the cookies we use, please see our [privacy policy](#).

✘ **Our use of cookies**

We use necessary cookies to make our site work. We also set performance and functionality cookies that help us make improvements by measuring traffic on our site. For more detailed information about the cookies we use, please see our [privacy policy](#).